

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 GREGORY OLIVER, II,
9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11
12 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
13 FRANCISCO, et al.,
14 Defendants.

No. C 07-2460 JL

ORDER THAT CASES ARE NOT
RELATED

C-07-2941 PJH, Maestrini v CCSF
C-07-2718 WDB, Hwang v CCSF, et al.

15
16
17

18 _____ /

19 The Court received Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to relate Cases pursuant to Civil
20 Local Rule 3-12 and Defendants' opposition to the motion. All parties in the *Oliver* case
21 have consented to this Court's jurisdiction as provided by 28 U.S.C. §636(c) and Civil Local
22 Rule 73.

23 Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides the following definition of related cases:

24 An action is related to another when:

- 25 (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or
26 event; and
27 (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and
28 expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges.

1 The Court reviewed the moving and opposing papers and concludes that the cases
2 should not be related. They involve different plaintiffs. They involve different groups of
3 defendants. They involve entirely distinct incidents occurring over a period of nine months.
4 They involve different legal theories. One case involves allegations of false arrest and use
5 of a baton. Another involves allegations of an unlawful detention, but not an arrest, and the
6 use of physical force. Yet another involves allegations against two named officers, one who
7 is not alleged to have been involved in any other incident.

8 No judicial resources would be conserved by relating these cases. There would be
9 no undue burden on the parties or the Court if they are not related.

10 Accordingly, the motion to relate cases is denied.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: August 14, 2007



James Larson
Chief Magistrate Judge